

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,820	12/02/2003	Bunichi Inagi	071671-0173	2732
22428 7550 04/17/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON. DC 20007			LE, KAREN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/724.820 INAGI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Karen L. Le 2614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/27/2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2614

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2 Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinstein et al (US 2002/0191572) in view of Lynn et al. (US 2007/0192870).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13 and 14. Weinstein teaches a wireless network service provision method of providing communication services including internet connection by permitting access to a predetermined access point in a limitative area via wireless LAN or local wireless interface, wherein: When a user subscribed to the communication service system accesses-the predetermined access point, payload processing is executed (Paragraph 0006, 0008, 0009, 0014 and 0017). Weinstein does not teach using a processing method unique to each user, and each user is discriminated based on data of the processed parts such that none of the users is capable of intercepting payload data of any of other users currently accessing the predetermined access point, due to differences in payload processing techniques respectively utilized by the payload processing methods respectively provided for each user. However Lynn teaches methods for actively defending a wireless LAN against attacks by introducing

Application/Control Number: 10/724.820

Art Unit: 2614

randomized payloads (See abstract and Paragraph 0133). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the randomized payload feature of Lynn into Weinstein's system in order to prevent the current users from intercepting payload data of any of other current or new users. A system that can actively defeat the attacker by placing randomized payloads into the stream is old and well known in wireless LAN.

Regarding claims 2 and 8, Weinstein further teaches a plurality of payload processing methods capable of being used in the limitative area are prepared, and a processing method peculiar to each user is selectively adopted (Paragraph 0043, and 0098).

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Weinstein further teaches one of a plurality of preliminarily prepared processing methods is randomly selected for the payload processing (Paragraph 0043 and 0098).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Weinstein further teaches the communication services are provided via a VLAN, to which a plurality of sub-networks are connected via a communication line (Paragraph 0040, 0041 and 0044).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Weinstein further teaches the communication services are provided in an area, which covers hot spot communication services of providing internet connection and contents services in a predetermined place ((Paragraph 0042).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,820

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Weinstein further teaches the communication services are provided by using data communication based on portal switch VLAN (Paragraph 0040).

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2614

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Karen L. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7487.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon and Thurs: 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on 571-272-7493. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karen L Le/

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

February 14, 2008

/Ahmad F. MATAR/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614